

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/633,691	08/05/2003	Kiyoji Minegishi	59558.00018	7601	
32294	7590 01/30/2006	EXAMINER			
SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P. 14TH FLOOR 8000 TOWERS CRESCENT			BRYANT, DAVID P		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TYSONS COI	RNER, VA 22182		3700		

DATE MAILED: 01/30/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

^	
1	
C.	

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/633,691	MINEGISHI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
David P. Bryant	3726		

		David P. Bryant		3726	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover she	et with the d	orrespondence add	ress
THE	REPLY FILED 12 January 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CON	IDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
. —	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an am otice of Appeal (with ap	endment, aff peal fee) in (idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	ice, which FR 41.31; or (3)
	The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.			
b)	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS fr (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (rom the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Evton	TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 sions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		ler 37 CER 1 1	36(a) and the appropria	to autonoian fac
have tunder set for may re	been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the st th in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later educe any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) CE OF APPEAL	tension and the correspond shortened statutory period r than three months after	nding amount d for reply origi	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) a
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	oliance with 37 CFR 41	.37 must be	filed within two month	ns of the date of
	filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR	41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
	<u>NDMENTS</u>				
3. 📙	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,				ecause
	(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		rch (see NO	I E below);	
	 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bel appeal; and/or 		materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	· -	r of finally rej	ected claims.	
4. 🗇	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13		re of Non-Co	moliant Amendment	PTOL-324)
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		50 01 11011 00	inpliant / inchamone	1 10L-02+j.
6. 🔲	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		a separate,	timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
7. 🔲	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provide status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:			ll be entered and an e	explanation of
	Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:				
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
3. □	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).				
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections y and was not earlier p	under appearesented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a
REQL] The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation <u>JEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</u>			•	
	The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	·	••		nce because:
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-14	449) Paper N	lo(s)	1
13. [Other:		/	David P. Bryant	

Primary Examiner
Art Unit: 3726

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant contends that JP '544 fails to explicitly teach inserting the rollers 2 into the retainer 3 from the inside thereof (Figures 1A and 1B). Applicant further argues that the rollers are likely inserted from the outside of the retainer, and states that this is the case in the depiction of the prior art in Figure 7 of JP '544. However, based on the drawings in Figures 1A and 1B, in combination with the disclosure at paragraphs [0013] and [0014], of JP '544, the examiner maintains that the rollers are inserted into the retainer from the inside. See the FINAL rejection mailed 9/14/05, which outlines the reasons in support of this contention. The examiner has requested a complete English language translation of JP '544 to confirm this interpretation, and will furnish a copy thereof to applicant upon completion.